

Strategic Approaches to Contractors' Prequalification Governance Decision-Making

Dr. Mohammed Ali Almuhannadi¹ <u>ma1901108@qu.edu.qa</u> Eng. Ahmed Salem Ghareeb² <u>ag1900122@qu.edu.qa</u> Dr. Altaiyb Omer Ahmed³ Ibnomer8090@gmail.com

1,2 Qatar University, Engineering College, Engineering Management3 Sudan University of Science and Technology, Statistics Department

1, Corresponding Author



The systematic literature review is based on an assessment of the strategic approaches influencing project governance decisions regarding contractor pre-qualification for construction undertakings. Contractor pre-qualification is a major strategic project governance decision-making process made by the clients and owners of construction projects to increase the chances of project success and mitigate risks related to contractor default.

The methods for the systematic literature review have considered 45 articles in total published between 2014 and 2023, with the inclusion criteria solidifying the relevance and comprehensiveness of the findings. The PRISMA chart identifies the selection of the journal articles from a total pool of 245 articles due to their relevance and compliance with the inclusion criteria.

The results of the review indicate risk assessment and performance determination as essential criteria for contractor prequalification, whereas the complexity of the project and its requirements define the use of the strategic selection approach.

In conclusion, strategic approaches can be more effective when a multi-criteria decisionmaking approach is integrated for contractor prequalification to ensure the timely completion of the project within the predefined budget while maintaining the best health and safety records.

Keywords: strategic decisions; governance; contractor prequalification; risk assessment; systematic review.

Table of Contents

Abstract	1
Introduction	3
Method	5
Literature Search	5
Inclusion and Evaluation of Studies	
Results	21
Discussion	23
Limitations	27
Summary and Outlook	
References	

1. Introduction

Project contractor selection is an important part of the construction industry as the clients encounter problems regarding contractor selection and appointment due to various uncertainties and risks (Kog and Yaman, 2014). The construction undertakings required the efficient utilization of resources and the display of technical expertise for navigating through the challenges of the dynamic working environment along with accomplishing the complicated tasks for achieving project success. Hence, the establishment of a strong and effective relationship between the project client and contractor remains vital for the successful completion of the objectives (Kog and Yaman, 2016). However, contractor pre-qualification is used as a criterion for deciding the selection of contractors from the available list of qualified contractors for the projects. Contractor selection is dependent on bid price as a dominant factor impacting the result of tenders but it is not always considered as the solitary factor for client decisions for appointing contractors. Several contractor prequalification criteria are applied to qualify the contractors for project undertakings (Patil et al., 2020). The pre-qualification process for the contractors enables them to highlight their value and differentiate themselves from the other contractors and competitors in influencing the selection decision of the clients for being awarded tenders.

For construction projects, the pre-qualification of project contractors remains viral for ensuring project success through the appointment of capable and reliable contractors (Yu et al., 2022). The critical choice of contractor selection impacts the success chances of the project as the clients are required to select the right contractors from the available list of qualified contractors and contracting firms participating in the tender. This is important for ensuring compliance with the project safety and financial budget while maintaining the quality parameters and workplace health and safety standards for ensuring client and stakeholder satisfaction.

Contractor prequalification involves the determination of their technical capabilities and past performance along with the financial stability to execute project works seamlessly (Rashvand et al., 2015). Also, the health and safety regard of the contractors are increasingly being adopted as a pre-qualification criterion for evaluating the potential contractors before tendering and bidding. Strategic project governance practices when included in contractor pre-qualification heavily influence project success as they involve the project client and associated stakeholders in the selection of the right contractor (Kukoy et al., 2021). This involves the assessment of the past performance record of the contractors and their capabilities including the availability of skilled workers and technology infrastructure along with experience in accomplishing the

project requirements (Afolayan et al., 2018). Strategic decision-making by the clients during contractor prequalification is directly interlinked with project success including its quality outcomes and cost effectiveness. Also, the capabilities of the contractors define the risk identification and mitigation efficiency of construction projects, highlighting the significance of determining the landscape of contractor prequalification as an important project governance decision for academics and industrial practitioners (Kapote et al., 2023). The research aims to contribute to the domain of academic knowledge about the strategic approaches to contractors' prequalification governance decision-making while eliminating knowledge gaps about the best practices and governance decision considerations beyond the bid price.

The research objectives to meet the aim of the systematic literature review are:

- To analyze the strategic approaches used for contractor prequalification governance decision-making in projects.
- To determine the factors influencing the selection of strategic approaches for contractor prequalification governance decision-making.
- To analyze the effectiveness of the strategic approaches for project risk mitigation and time, cost, quality, health, and safety performance.
- To recommend best practices for strategic contractor prequalification governance decisions for effective selection and project success.

2. Method

Literature Search



Figure 1. Selection Process of the literature review articles

The PRISMA chart presented above debits the literature search procedure integrated into the systematic literature review. The chart highlights the results of information searching and screening of the journal articles before selecting the final publications. The literature search was conducted by referring to primary databases like Google Scholar, Science Direct, and ResearchGate. In this context, keyboards relevant to the research topic like strategic approaches, contractor pre-qualification, governance decision-making, etc were used in the data basis. The identified articles were evaluated by reading the title of the publications were rejected based on the absence of properly defined research methods and references to project governance decision frameworks. Finally, 45 open-access articles were selected for consideration in the systematic literature review.

Author/s and	Aim and objectives	Methods	Findings
year			
Almohassen et al.	The research aims to	Secondary	Contractor evaluation
2023	evaluate construction	Qualitative –	before tendering is vital
	contractors in the pre-	AHP interviews	for improving
	tendering stage through		governance decision
	an integrated-based		efficiency by
	model.		understanding the
			characteristics and
			capabilities of the
			contractors to meet the
			minimum requirements
			of the project
Alshamrani et al.,	The investigation	Primary	A detailed framework is
2023.	analyses the	Quantitative	required for considering
	development of a pre-	Survey	different aspects of the
	qualification and		performance of the
	selection framework for		contractors for the
	construction project		selection of the most
			competent candidate due

Table 1.	Systematic	Literature	Review	Articles S	Summary
----------	------------	------------	--------	------------	---------

	contractors in Saudi		to the massive delays and
	Arabia.		cost overruns in the
			Saudi construction
			industry.
Cristóbal et al.,	The research aims to	Secondary	Both the quantitative and
2023.	investigate contractor	Quantitative	qualitative attributes of
	selection for Project		the contractors need to be
	execution using multi-		evaluated during the pre-
	attribute decision-		qualification stage,
	making.		including technical
			expertise and liquidity
			ratios
Kapote et al. 2023	The research analyses	Mixed methods	The time and cost
	the effective pre-	Survey and field	requirements of the
	qualification systems	visits	project harm the
	considering their		selection of contractors
	essentiality for	CL	based on the pre-
	minimising delays on	SL	qualification system as
	road projects.		work suspension during
			monsoon and land
			acquisition at the major
			causes of delays
Liu et al., 2023	The research aims to	Secondary	The data envelopment
	investigate the bidding	Qualitative	analysis model is ideal
	evaluation and		for improving the
	contractor selection		efficiency and
	using a balance index		effectiveness of
	model and		contractors with
	comprehensive input		evaluation for
	efficiency based on data		appropriate selection
	envelopment analysis.		across construction
			projects and real estate
			undertakings

Nurjaman and	The research	Primary and	The contractors having
Listyantoko,	investigates the analytic	secondary	the best pre-qualification
2023.	hierarchy process for	Survey and Case	and commercial criteria
	the determination of	study of a well-	testing are found to
	decision-making in the	known palm oil	provide the best score in
	selection of	company	terms of results
	contractors.	headquartered in	indicating the need for
		Jakarta	selecting the right
		(Indonesia)	contractors for smooth
			operations
Ameh et al., 2022.	The research	Primary	The chances of project
	investigates the impact	Quantitative	failure increase if the
	of Contractors'	189 surveys	contractors are not
	Prequalification		engaged and hence, it is
	Criteria (CPC) on Time		significant to ensure the
	Performance in		inclusion of management
	Construction Projects	SL	capability, reputation,
	Execution.		and financial capability
			within the contractor pre-
			qualification criteria
			along with the
			capabilities of the
			workers and work
			experience.
Amireh, 2022	A study evaluates the	Mixed methods	In terms of contractor
	impact of contractor	Survey and case	selection, the reference to
	selection methods and	study	qualifications and
	contractors' pre-		financial standing are
	qualifications on delays		highly important along
	in Jordanian public		with the technical skills
	construction projects.		of the workers for
			ensuring success

Kunkcu et al.,	The research aims to	Secondary	Different decision-
2022.	conduct a review of the	Qualitative	making methods are used
	multi-criteria decision-	Journal study	for constructor selection
	making methods for	(2000-2021)	including fuzzy logic and
	contractor selection		AHP, but the multi-
			criteria decision-making
			methods present
			comparative capabilities
			for solving contractor
			selection problems
Lees et al., 2022	The research aims to	Secondary	Contractor and
	analyze the cost and	Qualitative	procurement decision-
	time overruns in	Interviews	making require risk
	Western Australian		allocation and a
	government projects		structured pre-
	considering the		qualification process
	procurement decision-	SL	relevant to the project
	making antecedents.		circumstances.
Yu et al. 2022	The study uses the ISM	Secondary	ISM is useful for ranking
	method to analyze the	ISM-Qualitative	the criteria of contractor
	relationships between		pre-qualification for
	various contractor		selecting qualified
	prequalification criteria.		contractors for
			construction building and
			strategic planning.
Abdul Razak et	The research aims to	Secondary	Identification of the
al., 2021.	identify the health and	Qualitative	safety pre-qualification
	safety prequalification	Literature Study	criteria can facilitate the
	criteria for contractor	for Contractor	germination of the efforts
	selection in	Selection in	of the contractors for the
	construction projects by	Construction	safe execution of the
	conducting a systematic		project activities before
	review.		

			the location of the
			contract by the client
ElDean and	The research aims to	Primary	The contemporary
Abdelalim, 2021.	analyze a proposed	Quantitative	construction companies
	system for the	Survey	in Egypt integrated
	prequalification of		modern construction
	construction companies		methods referring to the
	& subcontractors for		adept application of
	projects in Egypt.		subcontractor
			capabilities requiring
			careful selection
			according to the local
			environment for
			improving project
			efficiency cost, time, and
			quality.
Kukoy et al. 2021	The research analyses	Primary	The findings indicate the
	the pre-qualification of	Quantitative	lack of health and safety
	selecting construction	Survey	consideration as a client
	project contractors		goal or project value
	using health and safety		criteria which reduces its
	criteria.		applicability as a
			contractor selection pre-
			qualification criterion.
Marović et al.	The research aims to	Secondary	The incorporation of
2021	establish a multi-criteria	Qualitative –	stakeholder demands and
	decision support	AHP	transparency in
	concept for selecting the		governance decision-
	optimal contractor.		making is vital for
			improving the legitimacy
			of project contractor
			prequalification with
			sustainable decisions.

Naik et al., 2021	The research aims to	Mixed methods	The prequalification
	review the modelling of	Literature review	assessment requires the
	a multi-criteria decision	and review of	approval of the project
	support system for the	experts	stakeholders to ensure
	prequalification		consistency with their
	assessment of		expectations. Ranking
	construction contractors		the companies and
	using CRITIC and		ascending weightage is
	EDAS models.		vital for improving the
			quality of pre-
			qualifications before the
			assignment of projects to
			ensure success.
analyzen et al.,	The research conducts a	Primary	The FAHP model is
2020	performance analysis of	Qualitative	useful for ranking the
	fuzzy analytic hierarchy		contractors for projects
	process multi-criteria	SL	as a corporate decision
	decision support models		criterion by focusing on
	for contractor selection.		the distinctive features
			for final selection.
Khoso et al.,	The research aims to	Primary	Contractor expertise and
2020.	analyze the decision	Quantitative	safety considerations are
	criteria for the	survey	weighted highly by the
	assessment of		project owners and
	contractors in the		decision-makers as a pre-
	prequalification phase		qualification criterion.
	of public projects		
Patil et al. 2020	The research conducts a	Secondary	Contractor pre-
	review of contractor	Qualitative	qualification criteria for
	prequalification criteria		project success include
	and their impact on		financial soundness and
	project success factors.		technical ability along

			with management
			capacity and reputation.
Bakry and Daoud,	The research aims to	Primary	The contractor pre-
2019.	analyse a contractor	Quantitative	qualification model
	prequalification model	survey	provides a ranking of the
	for lean project		contractors depending on
	delivery.		their experiences of
			delivering lean
			undertakings with
			categories including a
			scoring system for
			flexibility
El-khalek et al.,	The research aims to	Primary	Contractor reputation
2019	evaluate the	Quantitative	and technical capability
	construction	survey	are important factors
	subcontractor		influencing pre-
	prequalification	SL	qualification decisions
	evaluation criteria and		along with the cost and
	their impact on project		quality compliance
	success.		
Eriksson et al.,	The research aims to	Primary	The duration of
2019	analyze the	Qualitative	collaboration among the
	collaborative		project owner and the
	procurement strategies		contractors is vital for
	for infrastructure		improving innovation
	projects with a multiple-		and early involvement
	case study approach.		for successful
			procurement while
			reducing governance
			issues
Aboelmagd, 2018.	The research aims to	Secondary	The use of a formal and
	analyze a decision	Quantitative	standard AHP and value
	support system for	Case study	engineering can

	selecting optimal		maximize project quality
	construction bid prices.		while minimizing time
			and cost as it can improve
			contractor selection
			based on the
			determination of their
			competencies.
Afolayan et al.	The research aims to	Primary	The technical capability
2018	evaluate the	Quantitative	of the contractors is
	prequalification	Survey	referred to for
	decision criteria for		determining their
	selecting contractors in		prequalification criteria
	Nigeria using an		while past performance
	analytic hierarchy		was perceived as one of
	process.		the most important
			factors along with
		CE	resource availability,
		SE	health, and safety.
Ayettey and	The research aims to	Primary	The utilization of the
Danso, 2018.	investigate the	Quantitative	multi-criteria method for
	contractor selection	Survey	contractor
	criteria in the Ghanaian		prequalification is not
	construction industry		extensively used in the
	considering the benefits		construction industry of
	and challenges.		Ghana but its broader
			application could result
			in benefits like saving
			time and contractor
			default probability for
			the project owner while
			improving quality
			standards

Koçak et al., 2018	The research analyses	Secondary	Construction companies
	subcontractor selection	multi-criteria	can appoint and select
	with an additive ratio	decision-making	sub-contractors from
	assessment method.	literature	multiple alternatives
			using the ARAS method
			for selecting the most
			suitable contractors
			based on 11 distant
			criteria apart from the
			lowest bidder
Liang et al., 2018.	The research aims to	Mixed Methods	The most influential
	use leading and lagging	Literature review	factors for the safety
	indicators to select safe	and expert	performance of the
	contractors at the	opinions	construction contractors
	prequalification stage of		included the commitment
	construction projects.		of the management and
		SL	safety risk management.
Alptekin and	The research analyses	Primary	Selection of the right
Alptekin, 2017.	criteria influencing	Quantitative	contractor is a significant
	contractor selection	Survey	aspect of construction
	using the TOPSIS		due to risk and
	method.		performance criteria
			where the lowest bidders
			may not always provide
			the best results requiring
			the integration of a multi-
			criteria selection
			approach.
Ola et al., 2017	The research aims to	Primary	Building construction
	conduct an assessment	Quantitative	requires the
	of factors responsible	survey	identification of
	for the choice of		contractor pre-
	contractors'		qualification based on

	prequalification criteria		different factors
	for civil engineering		including the project type
	projects considering the		along with the
	perspectives of the		involvement of the
	consultants		clients and the
			consultants.
Osurua et al.,	The investigation	Primary	Past performance of
2017	analyses the	Quantitative	project contractors is the
	determinants of	survey	four most important
	contractors' pre-		criteria for pre-
	qualification criteria in		qualification followed by
	a recessed economy in		experience and resource
	Nigeria.		availability.
Kog and Yaman,	The investigation	Secondary	The use of a tender
2016	analyses the multi-agent	literature survey	management system can
	systems-based		improve the efficiency of
	contractor pre-	CL	contractor pre-
	qualification model.	SE	qualification with better
_			consideration of financial
			standing, management
			ability, technical
			expertise, reputation, and
			health and security.
Modupe and Ola,	The project explores the	Primary	The pre-qualification
2016.	relevance of	Quantitative	criteria of the contractors
	contractors'	Survey	are directly related to the
	prequalification criteria		performance of civil
	to the time performance		engineering projects as
	of civil engineering		the criteria for pre-
	projects.		qualification impacts the
			performance and timely
			completion along with
			adherence to the goals

Mohamed and	The research aims to	Primary	The main criteria for
Majeed, 2016.	use an analytical	Quantitative	subcontractor pre-
	network process to find	survey and ANP	qualification include
	priorities weights of		financial situation and
	contractor's pre-		technical expertise to
	qualification.		ensure availability of
			resources and
			compliance with the
			technical specifications
Ola, 2016.	The research	Primary	The experience of the
	investigates the	Quantitative	contractors determines
	potential attributes of	Survey	their capability of
	contractors'		successfully executing
	prequalification criteria		civil engineering projects
	for civil engineering		which are used as the
	projects considering the		basis for pre-
	essential tools for	CL	qualification along with
	project administration.	SL	other inherent
			characteristics to ensure
			the successful delivery of
			civil engineering projects
Patel et al., 2016.	The research aims to	Secondary	AHP is useful for
	study the factors	Qualitative,	construction project risk
	affecting contractor's	literature	analysis and decision-
	perspectives on risk	comparison	making regarding risk
	management.		evaluation, corporate
			stability initiatives, and
			contractor
			prequalification for
			improving the success of
			construction projects
			with multi-criteria
			decision-making.

T 1 1	D '	
The research analyses	Primary	The CoSMo 1s
the fuzzy multi-criteria	Quantitative	significant for practical
decision-making model	survey and	implementation for
for subcontractor	subcontractor	strategic selection of the
selection in	selection model	principal contractors for
international	(CoSMo)	risk reduction along with
construction projects.	development	conceptualizing the
		decision-making of the
		subcontractors to identify
		the weak points and
		make improvements
The study conducts a	Primary	Contractor selection
managerial evaluation	Quantitative	criteria include the
of construction	survey	assessment of experience
contractors in the		in construction projects
selection process.		along with a quality
	CL	certificate of works
	SE	undertaken and export of
		the construction
		personnel
The research analyses	Secondary	Construction involves
an approach to	Quantitative	large-scale production of
sustainable	Case study	a specific category
standardization of the		utilizing different agents
submittal process		and materials required in
through illustrative		the judicious use of
study in construction.		resources and human
		liver for standardizing
		production with
		sustainable practices and
		strategic decision-
		making regarding
		contractor selection
	The research analyses the fuzzy multi-criteria decision-making model for subcontractor selection in international construction projects. The study conducts a managerial evaluation of construction contractors in the selection process. If research analyses an approach to sustainable standardization of the submittal process through illustrative study in construction.	The research analyses Primary the fuzzy multi-criteria Quantitative decision-making model survey and for subcontractor subcontractor selection in selection model international (CoSMo) construction projects. development The study conducts a Primary managerial evaluation of construction selection process. The research analyses Secondary an approach to Quantitative sustainable Secondary an approach to Secondary an approach to Secondary standardization of the submittal process through illustrative study in construction. Subcontractors in the submittal process through illustrative study in construction. The research analyses through illustrative study in construction. Submittal process through illustrative study in construction. Submittal process Submittal process

Rashvand et al.	The research	Mixed methods	Management capability
2015	investigates the context	Expert survey	consideration for
	of contractor selection	and literature	contractor
	at the prequalification	review	prequalification is
	stage considering the		ambiguous, requiring
	current evaluation and		comprehensive models
	shortcomings.		and a focus on aspects
			beyond time and cost
			performance.
Rashvand et al.	The research aims to	Multi-method –	Contractor management
2015	analyse a contractor	quantitative	performance assessment
	management	survey and	considering the pre-
	performance evaluation	qualitative –	qualification criteria
	model at the	ANP method	requires contractors to
	prequalification stage.		focus on critical project
			management practices
		C	for improving
		SE	performance
Alptekin, 2014.	The research aims to	Primary	The contract determines
	investigate a multi-	Survey	the success level of
	criteria decision-	questionnaire	construction projects
	making approach in	Quantitative	required in strategic
	contractor selection.	AHP	decisions regarding
			contractor selection with
			AHP in a multi-criteria
			environment.
Alzober and	The research proposes	Primary	The AHP model is useful
Yaakub, 2014	an integrated model for	Quantitative	for assigning the
	the selection of the	survey	importance of contractor
	prequalification criteria		pre-qualification criteria
	for contractor		weightage for efficient
			selection through multi-
			criteria

Kog and Yaman,	The research conducts a	Secondary	Contractor selection	
2014	meta-classification and	Qualitative	problems can be solved	
	analysis of contractor		by statistical models	
	selection and		along with fuzzy set	
	prequalification.		theory and AHP. The use	
			of IT applications and	
			agent-based systems is	
			also useful for contractor	
			prequalification.	
Plebankiewicz,	The research	Secondary	The construction owners	
2014.	investigates modelling	mathematical	need to make strategic	
	decision-making	apparatus using	decisions for supervising	
	processes in bidding	fuzzy sets	the bidding procedures	
	procedures with the use		involving the contractors	
	of the fuzzy sets theory.		for appropriate selection	
Puri and Tiwari,	The research analyses	Primary	The criteria for	
Puri and Tiwari, 2014	The research analysesthecriteriafor	Primary Quantitative	Thecriteriaforcontractorpre-	
Puri and Tiwari, 2014	The research analyses the criteria for contractors' selection	Primary Quantitative survey	Thecriteriaforcontractorpre-qualificationandbeat	
Puri and Tiwari, 2014	The research analyses the criteria for contractors' selection and bid evaluation.	Primary Quantitative survey	Thecriteriaforcontractorpre-qualificationandbeatevaluationinclude	
Puri and Tiwari, 2014	The research analyses the criteria for contractors' selection and bid evaluation.	Primary Quantitative survey	Thecriteriaforcontractorpre-qualificationandbeatevaluationincludeuseofmulti-criteria	
Puri and Tiwari, 2014	The research analyses the criteria for contractors' selection and bid evaluation.	Primary Quantitative survey	Thecriteriaforcontractorpre-qualificationandbeatevaluationincludeuseofmulti-criteriaevaluationmodels	
Puri and Tiwari, 2014	The research analyses the criteria for contractors' selection and bid evaluation.	Primary Quantitative survey	Thecriteriaforcontractorpre-qualificationandbeatevaluationincludeuseofmulti-criteriaevaluationmodelsfocusingondataabout	
Puri and Tiwari, 2014	The research analyses the criteria for contractors' selection and bid evaluation.	Primary Quantitative survey	The criteria for contractor pre- qualification and beat evaluation include the use of multi-criteria evaluation models focusing on data about financial stability and	
Puri and Tiwari, 2014	The research analyses the criteria for contractors' selection and bid evaluation.	Primary Quantitative survey	Thecriteriaforcontractorpre-qualificationandevaluationincludeuseofmulti-criteriaevaluationmodelsfocusingondataaboutfinancialstabilityandexperienceofthe	
Puri and Tiwari, 2014	The research analyses the criteria for contractors' selection and bid evaluation.	Primary Quantitative survey	The criteria for contractor pre- qualification and beat evaluation include the use of multi-criteria evaluation models focusing on data about financial stability and experience of the organization along with	
Puri and Tiwari, 2014	The research analyses the criteria for contractors' selection and bid evaluation.	Primary Quantitative survey	The criteria for contractor pre- qualification and beat evaluation include the use of multi-criteria evaluation models focusing on data about financial stability and experience of the organization along with management experience	
Puri and Tiwari, 2014	The research analyses the criteria for contractors' selection and bid evaluation.	Primary Quantitative survey	The criteria for contractor pre- qualification and beat evaluation include the use of multi-criteria evaluation models focusing on data about financial stability and experience of the organization along with management experience and workplace health and	

No	Methods	Frequency
1	Primary Quantitative Survey	20
2	Secondary Qualitative	11
3	Primary Qualitative	2
4	Secondary Quantitative	4
5	Mixed Methods – survey and case study	4
6	Primary and Secondary survey and case study	2
7	Mixed Methods Literature review and review of experts	2

Table 2. Summary of Methodology Applied in Articles

Table 2 provides information about the methods applied in all 45 articles set, more than one method was utilized in different ways as mixed of quantitative and qualitative, rather than mixed of both primary and secondary methods, mixed survey and case study, literature review, and review of experts. The main method applied in most of the articles is the primary quantitative survey method in the start set. The case Systematic Literature Review (SLR) had 20 primary studies. However, 11 articles applied secondary qualitative methods (i.e., including ISM, AHP, literature review, and interviews). four articles applied secondary quantitative and the same number used mixed methods (survey and case study), other methods such as literature review and review of experts, primary and secondary survey and case study, and primary qualitative were used as the main methods of the articles.

Inclusion and Evaluation of Studies

The inclusion criteria utilized for the systematic review serve as the basis for selecting the journal articles based on predefined criteria. The inclusion criteria for the systematic review included the consideration of relevant publications published in the last 10 years. Hence the period of publication selected for consideration was 2014 to 2023. The inclusion criteria were integrated to ensure the authenticity and relevance of the research publications regarding the present practices of contractor prequalification and strategic governance in decision-making across construction projects. A total of 45 journal articles were selected for the systematic review due to meeting the inclusion criteria. Subsequently, a CASP checklist was used for determining the quality criteria for the selection of the articles due to the incorporation of questions that address the key attributes of the publications. The valuation criteria have been

highly effective in terms of ensuring the relevance of the selected articles with the research topic.

4. Results

Strategic approaches for contractor prequalification governance decision-making

Contractor prequalification is an important part of project governance decision-making for the shortlisting and selection of the most suitable contractors to be appointed for projects. The project owners utilise a risk-based assessment approach for contractor prequalification depending on their financial capability and history of compliance with the project objectives and regulatory considerations (Liang et al., 2018). The safety records of the contractors along with the capability of the workers are also determined for the maximization of project success opportunities and minimization of risk. Performance-based criteria are also used as a major for determining the timely completion rate of projects by the contractors within the specified schedule (Plebankiewicz, 2014). The technology infrastructure and tools used by the contractors along with their collaborative capabilities to effectively engage with the stakeholders are also considered as a multi-criteria decision-making approach during governance decision-making for pre-qualification. The utilization of a multi-criteria approach translates to the benefits in maximization of the overall value to the project owner while minimizing the possibility of contractor default (Alptekin, 2014). However, the challenges with the selection criteria include ongoing disputes among the contractors and the clients along with adequate assessment accuracy due to the lack of information leading to substandard work.

Factors influencing strategic approach selection for contractor prequalification in governance decision-making

Different factors influence the strategic approach involved in the selection of the criteria for contractor pre-qualification in the project governance decision-making. The project requirements and complexity of the project environment are considered for shaping the strategic decision-making approach depending on the technical requirements and size of the project (Kunkcu et al., 2022). The regulatory requirements and accepted industry standards are also considered along with the magnitude of risk involved in the project. Specific client requirements including the integration of digital and innovative technology and the sustainability considerations in the project are also integrated into the governance decisions for customizing the criteria for contractor pre-qualification (Patel et al., 2016). However, resource availability including human capital and financial budget are important considerations for

41

contractor pre-qualification indicating the magnitude of weightage provided to the bids and the other criteria of the contractors like capabilities and past performance. This is vital for finalizing the project feasibility-related decisions and the integration of stakeholder perspectives for contractor pre-qualification to improve the chances of project success (Ulubeyli and Kazaz, 2016).

Effectiveness of the strategic approaches for project risk mitigation and time, cost, quality, health, and safety performance

The use of AHP is significant for the determination of the risk associated with a construction project and for improving the effectiveness of contractor qualification by referring to a multi-determined decision-making strategy. Selection of the contractors with the best pre-qualification scores can increase the time, cost, and quality performance of the projects along with the attainment of the health and safety objectives (Nurjaman and Listyantoko, 2023). The reference to past performance data of the contractors is vital for making informed decisions regarding appointment and delegation of responsibilities for specific components of the project. The strategic approaches are also effective for ensuring continuous improvement in project performance for achieving time at cost savings while reducing the risk for the owners. However, it is important to focus on a multi-criteria approach for contractor pre-qualification and selection to ensure a clear definition of the quantitative and qualitative attributes to maintain objectivity (Abdul Razak et al., 2021).

Best practices for strategic contractor prequalification governance decisions for effective selection and project success

The strategic contractor prequalification governance decisions are critical for ensuring the success of projects. Considering the best practices, the contractor ensures that the project's requirements are well-being. This includes technical specifications, project scope, timeline, budget the other relevant criteria. This has been done by establishing clear and objective criteria for evaluating contractors. The pre-qualification criteria such as experience, financial stability, and technical expertise (Kog and Yaman, 2016). This is because of developing a standardized prequalification process that has been consistently applied to all potential contractors. Moreover, the contractor uses the prequalification software or online platform to streamline the process and manage the evaluations of contractors efficiently. The contractors also have a strong commitment to safety and a track record of maintaining a safe work environment. The effective prequalification process helps in identifying and mitigating potential risks associated

with contractors. By thoroughly evaluating contractors' financial stability, past performances and compliances, contractors encounter the issue during the project lifecycle (Ola, 2016).

Similarly, quality assurance ensures that only contractors with a proven track record of delivering high-quality work are selected for projects. This enhances the overall quality of workmanship, leading to better project outcomes and client satisfaction (Ameh et al., 2022). Despite the efforts to establish objective criteria, there may still be a degree of subjectivity in evaluating contractors. Thus, different stakeholders may have varying interpretations of the prequalification process. Conversely, past performance metrics are often used as indicators for future success, as a result, the contractor may face unforeseen challenges or changes in their capabilities affecting the ability to deliver future projects (Patil *et al.*, 2020). The overall practices have been ensured by maintaining documentation of the prequalification process consisting of criteria used for evaluations and communication with contractors. The best practices can generate a huge impact on organizations to make informed decisions and strategic contractors have contributed to the success of their projects.

5. Discussion

Based on the above analysis of results, findings have been able to be explored through the study. Based on the above analysis, it has been discussed that the strategic approach involves conducting a comprehensive risk assessment of potential contractors. This includes evaluating factors such as financial stability and safety records to mitigate potential risks. It has been discussed that the strategic approach aligns with the project objective to assess the contractor's capabilities and track records to determine their suitability for the specific requirements of the projects (Amireh, 2022). By prioritizing alignment with project goals, construction firms can enhance the likelihood of achieving desired outcomes within the budget and schedule constraints. Moreover, the stakeholder in the prequalification decision-making process is essential for ensuring buy-in and alignment with project goals. This has been done by soliciting input from stakeholders and considering their perspectives to reflect their interests. The approach also involves performance criteria in the prequalification process to identify contractors with proven tracks and records of delivering high-quality results (Alptekin and Alptekin, 2017). This may involve assessing past performance metrics such as on-time delivery, adherence to budget, and client satisfaction ratings.

According to Kapote *et al.* (2023), the strategic approaches determine their unique benefits, as construction firms have been able to ensure selected contractors have the necessary

qualifications, expertise, and resources to complete the projects. This mainly reduces the risk of the project's delays and cost overruns. The strategic approach of prequalification helps the organizations to identify and mitigate the potential risks of the project lifecycle. This has been done through evaluating contractors, financial stability, safety records, and past performance and organizations can minimize the risks of working with unreliable or unsafe contractors (Ayettey and Danso, 2018). The construction workers and staff can use the prequalification process to lead to cost savings in the long run. The standardization of the prequalification process and utilizing technology can streamline decision-making to reduce time. As opined by Kog and Yaman, (2016), the strategic approaches for contractors' prequalification governance decision-making are significant for the project's success. Thus, *limited information* can be challenging to verify the accuracy of the information provided, particularly for smaller or less well-known contractors. As a result, the contractors may not be able to best fit for the projects. In addition, the standard prequalification process can sometimes be inflexible and may not adequately account for unique project requirements or contractors' capabilities (Modupe and Ola, 2016). Moreover, the limited practice value is often considered the unique challenge faced by contractors, as it is because of failure to predict future performance. External factors such as changes in leadership or market conditions can significantly impact contractors' ability to deliver on a project. It has been discussed that implementing strategic approaches for contractors' prequalification governance decision-making can have a significant impact on project outcomes (Rashvand et al. 2015). Rigorously contractors based on strategic criteria, help the firm to select a capable contractor based on projects. This is due to reducing the likelihood of project delays, and cost overruns to lead to higher success rates. By assessing factors such as financial stability, and past performance construction firms can be able to mitigate the potential risk before the impact of the projects.

Based on the above analysis of results and themes, it has been discussed that the strategic approach for contractors' prequalification in governance decision-making is mainly influenced by several factors (Cristóbal et al., 2023). The complexity and scope of the projects play a significant role in determining the strategic approach for contractors' prequalification. Based on large-scale, complex projects, and a more rigorous and detailed prequalification process, it is necessary to ensure that selected contractors have the proper requisite expertise and resources to ensure selected contractors. The risk management considerations heavily influenced the selection of a strategic approach for contractor's prequalification (Afolayan *et al.* 2018). The projects involved with high levels of risks such as those in hazardous environments or with

tight deadlines, may require a more stringent prequalification process to mitigate the risks associated with contractors' roles and performances.

The organization is mainly involved with various scrutiny during the prequalification process to ensure consistency and equity in contractor selection. Conversely, in markets with fewer competitors, the organization may have more flexibility in their pre-qualification criteria and approach. In addition, stakeholders may expect a transparent and accountable prequalification process that ensures the selection of reputable contractors with proven track records of success. According to Kukoy et al. (2021), the selection of a strategic approach for contractors' prequalification in governance decision-making might offer several benefits to construction firms. Through considering factors such as experience, and financial stability construction firms have been able to mitigate the risk of selecting contractors who are not able to meet the project requirements as well as pose the success of the project. Regardless, prequalifying contractors based on their financial stability and past performances helps to control costs by reducing the likelihood of budget overruns or cost delays due to contractors' issues. Similarly, the factors help to ensure that organizations comply with relevant legal and regulatory requirements to reduce the risk of legal disputes or regulatory penalties. The overall factors influencing the strategic approach selection for contractors' prequalification in governance decision-making contribute to the project's success by minimizing risks.

Considering the challenges, despite the efforts to establish objective criteria, there is often a degree of subjectivity involved in contractors (Yu et al. 2022). This is because of limited information such as past performance data and documentation provided by contractors. This may not provide a comprehensive understanding of contractors' capabilities, leading to potential misjudgements or oversights. Apart from this, with the efforts of a standardized prequalification process, there may still in inconsistencies in the way criteria are applied across the different projects (Alshamrani et al., 2023). It has been identified through peers that, a lack of standardization can result in disparities in contractors' selections and potentially undermine the fairness and transparency expertise.

The strategic selection of contractors through prequalification helps in mitigating risks associated with various projection executions. Thus, factors assessing financial stability, and safety records are mainly to identify the potential risks to address them accurately (Fard *et al.* 2015). The overall factors influencing the strategic approach for contractors' prequalification in governance decision-making have a far-reaching impact on project success, cost, and quality

that helps to prioritize carefully considering these factors are better positioned to achieve their project objectives and deliver successful outcomes.

Based on the above analysis of the theme, it has been discussed that the strategic approaches for project risk mitigation are essential for ensuring successful project outcomes consisting of time, cost, and quality. It has been discussed that strategic risk management begins with identifying and assessing potential risks to the project. This process involves analyzing various factors such as project scope, stakeholders, resources, and external influences (Aboelmagd, 2018). Additionally, his approach is effective in proactively identifying threats, its success largely depends on the thoroughness of risk identification and the accuracy of risk assessment. Once a risk has been identified and assessed the strategic approach is involved in developing risk response plans to mitigate transfers. Effective risk response planning requires a proactive and systematic approach to address the risks. Once the risks are identified and assessed, strategic approaches involve developing risk response plans to mitigate and accept. However, the risks of success mainly depend on plans based on implementations and integrations into project management. As opined by Naik et al., (2021), the poorly risk-executed or inadequate resources allocated for risk mitigation can compromise their effectiveness, leading to project delays, cost overruns and quality issues. Similarly, it involves strategic project management to address unforeseen challenges that may arise during the project's executions. While contingency planning enhances the project's resilience and flexibility, the effectiveness depends on the accuracy of risk assessment and the robustness of contingency measures. As a result, the success of monitoring and control processes depends on the availability of real-time data and timely communication to delay the responsive risks that may escalate into larger issues. It has been discussed that the effectiveness of strategic approaches faced huge uncertainties and major events such as Inherent uncertainties. This uncertainty can make it challenging to fully anticipate and mitigate the potential risk effectively. As a result, the project manager may encounter risks during the planning phase, leading to unanticipated delays in cost overruns. Additionally, the construction firm may face *resource constraints* such as budget limitations, time constraints or limited availability of skilled personnel that impact their ability to implement comprehensive risk mitigation strategies (Marović et al. 2021). Despite this, the projects can be influenced by external factors such as changes in regulations, and market conditions that lead to huge external risks to amplify the existing ones and make it more challenging. Therefore, the project manager might adopt a proactive and adaptive approach to risk management. This includes monitoring project risks, engaging stakeholders, and fostering a culture of risk awareness. Additionally, organizations can benefit from investing in training and development programs to increase risk management capabilities across their project teams (El Dean and Abdelalim, 2021).

Based on the above analysis of the theme, it has been discussed that insufficient project needs can lead to misalignment in contractor selection. Thus, it is important for stakeholder engagement in defining these needs to be equally vital. Despite the recommendation for objectives, there is a tendency for subjectivity to seep into the process. Factors such as experiences and technical expertise can be interpreted differently by different evaluators (Almohassen et al. 2023). It has been discussed that emphasizing financial stability during prequalification can sometimes disincentivize innovative or smaller contractors due to a lack of extensive financial reserves. Conversely, past performance is a valuable indicator that is not always predictive of future success. This might be relying on past performances or those undergoing positive transformation (Jung and Mills, 2015). Therefore, supplementing past performances with forward-looking ones could enhance the selection process. Based on the above analysis, there have been huge benefits of the prequalification process, as it reduces the likelihood of project delays and cost overruns. Moreover, it also helps to select the contractors to track the record of delivering high-quality workmanship and the likelihood of project success. The best practices ensure that only contractors with the necessary expertise and experience lead to better quality outcomes (Liu *et al.*, 2023). Therefore, selecting contractors through a fair and transparent prequalification process fosters a positive relationship between the project owner and contractors. Construction firms are mainly subject to constant change consistent with advances in technology, regulations, and market conditions. As a result, the prequalification criteria may become outdated or less relevant over time, requiring regular review and updates.

6. Limitations

The limitations of the systematic literature review include the possible bias in the findings of the selected studies focusing on the positive aspects of contractor pre-qualification and potentially overlooking the inherent complexities and delay. The limitations of the study also extend to the limited exposure of the strategic approaches to project governance decisionmaking and the effectiveness of contractor rec qualification due to the diverse availability of literature representing various contexts of contractor selection across different geographic locations. This impacts the comprehensiveness of the findings and the overall heterogeneity due to the combination of various methodological approaches.

7. Summary and Outlook

The investigation focused on conducting a systematic literature review over the last 10 years regarding the strategic approaches influencing the project governance decisions regarding contractor pre-qualification for construction undertakings. The systematic literature review has considered 45 articles in total published between 2014 and 2023 with the inclusion criteria solidifying the relevance and comprehensiveness of the findings. In the study, the finding indicates the application of various strategic approaches by the construction clients for contractor-free qualification depending on the risk appetite and performance criteria for meeting the specific project requirements. The utilization of a multi-criteria decision-making approach is found to be the most effective for efficient contractor pre-qualification considering both the qualitative and quantitative attributes for increasing the chances of project success.

The overall results and summary have been drawn based on the above analysis of results and themes. However, it has been found that the prequalification process plays an immense role in selecting talented contractors for construction projects. However, the best practices for strategic contractors are effective for selecting quality contractors for project success. Contractors may face huge issues based on the prequalification process such as inflexibility and limited resources. Additionally, it has been found that the construction industry is more subjected to constant change based on technology advancements and regulations. Therefore, the contractor needs to carefully consider the governance decision to ensure the project's success and foster effective collaboration between the project stakeholders.

8. References

Abdul Razak, N., Ejohwomu, O., Fenn, P., Okedara, K., Dosumu, B. and Muhammad-Sukki, F., 2021. Identification of health and safety prequalification criteria for contractor selection in construction projects: a systematic review. *Energies*, *14*(21), p.7244.

Aboelmagd, Y.M., 2018. Decision support system for selecting optimal construction bid price. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, *57*(4), pp.4189-4205.

Afolayan, A.H., Ojokoh, B.A. and Adetunmbi, A.O., 2020. Performance analysis of fuzzy analytic hierarchy process multi-criteria decision support models for contractor selection. *Scientific African*, *9*, p.e00471.

Afolayan, A.H., Ojokoh, B.A. and Adeyinka, S.A., 2018. Evaluation of Prequalification Decision Criteria for Selecting Contractors in Nigeria Using Analytic Hierarchy Process. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, *197*(22), pp.0975-8887.

Almohassen, A.S., Alfozan, M., Alshamrani, O.S. and Shaawat, M.E., 2023. Evaluating construction contractors in the pre-tendering stage through an integrated-based model. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, 82, pp.437-445.

Alptekin, O. and Alptekin, N., 2017, October. Analysis of criteria influencing contractor selection using the TOPSIS method. In *IOP conference series: materials science and engineering* (Vol. 245, No. 6, p. 062003). IOP Publishing.

Alptekin, O., 2014. Multi-criteria decision-making approach in contractor selection. *International Journal of Natural and Engineering Sciences*, 8(2), pp.6-9.

Alshamrani, O.S.D., Saleem, M., AlYousif, I.K. and Alluqmani, A., 2023. Development of a pre-qualification and selection framework for construction project contractors in Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Asian Architecture and Building Engineering*, 22(3), pp.1545-1563.

Alzober, W. and Yaakub, A.R., 2014. Integrated model for selection the prequalification criteria of contractor. *Lecture Notes on Software Engineering*, 2(3), p.233.

Ameh, O.J., Kayode, I.O. and Ajayi, M.O., 2022. Impact of Contractors' Prequalification Criteria (CPC) on Time Performance in Construction Projects Execution. *Lagos Journal of Environmental Studies*, *11*(1), pp.13-26.

Amireh, F., 2022. A study on the impact of contractor selection method and contractors' prequalifications on delays in Jordanian public construction projects.

Ayettey, D.N.A. and Danso, H., 2018. Contractor selection criteria in Ghanaian construction industry: Benefits and challenges. *Journal of Building Construction and Planning Research*, 6(4), pp.278-297.

Bakry, I. and Daoud, E., 2019. Contractor Prequalification Model for Lean Project Delivery. *Int. J Sup. Chain. Mgt Vol*, 8(4), p.1131.

Cristóbal, S., Fernández, J.R., Dominguez, V., Salinas, S.D. and Alvangonzález, R., 2023. Contractor selection for Project execution using multi-attribute decision-making. *Procedia Computer Science*, *219*, pp.1760-1768. El Dean, S.M. and Abdelalim, A.M., 2021. A Proposed System for Prequalification of Construction Companies & Subcontractors for Projects in Egypt. *International Journal of Management and Commerce Innovations*, 9(2), pp.290-304.

El-khalek, H.A., Aziz, R.F. and Morgan, E.S., 2019. Identification of construction subcontractor prequalification evaluation criteria and their impact on project success. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, *58*(1), pp.217-223.

Eriksson, P.E., Volker, L., Kadefors, A., Lingegård, S., Larsson, J. and Rosander, L., 2019. Collaborative procurement strategies for infrastructure projects: A multiple-case study. *Proceedings of the institution of civil engineers-management, procurement and law*, *172*(5), pp.197-205.

Fard, M.M., Terouhid, S.A. and Jokar, M.R.A., 2015. Managerial evaluation of construction contractors in the selection process. *Sch J Econ Bus Manag*, *2*, pp.145-158.

Jung, Y. and Mills, T., 2015. An Approach to Sustainable Standardization of Submittal Process through Illustrative Study in Construction. *Journal of Sustainability Research*, *6*(2), pp.1-13.

Kapote, M., Patil, P. and Pimplikar, S., 2023. Effective pre-qualification systems–Are they essential for minimizing delays on road projects? *Organization, Technology, and Management in Construction: an International Journal*, *15*(1), pp.213-220.

Khoso, A.R., Memon, N.A., Sohu, S., Siddiqui, F. and Khan, J.S., 2020. Decision criteria for assessment of contractors in the prequalification phase of public projects. *International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology*, 29(11s), pp.2624-2635.

Koçak, S., Kazaz, A. and Ulubeyli, S., 2018. Subcontractor selection with additive ratio assessment method. *Journal of Construction Engineering, Management & Innovation*, *1*(1), pp.18-32.

Kog, F. and Yaman, H., 2014. A meta classification and analysis of contractor selection and prequalification. *Procedia Engineering*, *85*, pp.302-310.

Kog, F. and Yaman, H., 2016. A multi-agent systems-based contractor pre-qualification model. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 23(6), pp.709-726.

Kukoyi, P.O., Osuizugbo, I.C., Yohanna, H.S., Edike, U.E. and Ohiseghame, I.E., 2021. Pre-Qualification of Selecting Construction Project Contractors Using Health and Safety Criteria. *Journal of Engineering, Project & Production Management, 11*(1). Kunkcu, H., KOÇ, K. and Gürgün, A., 2022. Multi-criteria decision-making methods for contractor selection: A review. *Proceedings of International Structural Engineering and Construction*, 9(1) pp.1-6.

Lees, T., Segar, D., Whyte, A. and Urquhart, S., 2022. Cost and Time Overruns in Western Australian Government Projects: Procurement Decision-Making Antecedents. *Transactions on Science and Technology*, 9(2-2), pp.86-96.

Liang, H., Zhang, S. and Su, Y., 2018. Using leading and lagging indicators to select safe contractors at the prequalification stage of construction projects. *International journal of occupational and environmental health*, 24(1-2), pp.61-74.

Liu, X., Chen, S., Ding, Z. and Xu, B., 2023. Bidding evaluation and contractor selection using balance index model and comprehensive input efficiency based on data envelopment analysis. *Systems*, *11*(5), p.245.

Marović, I., Perić, M. and Hanak, T., 2021. A multi-criteria decision support concept for selecting the optimal contractor. *Applied Sciences*, *11*(4), p.1660.

Modupe, O.M. and Ola, A.A., 2016. Relevance of contractors' prequalification criteria to time performance of civil engineering project. *American Journal of Civil Engineering*, *4*(5), pp.225-232.

Mohamed, S.R. and Majeed, R.A., 2016. Using Analytical Network Process to Find Priorities Weights of Contractors Pre-Qualification. *Journal of Construction Engineering, Technology and Management*, 6(2), pp.88-93.

Naik, M.G., Kishore, R. and Dehmourdi, S.A.M., 2021. Modeling a multi-criteria decision support system for prequalification assessment of construction contractors using CRITIC and EDAS models. *Operational Research in Engineering Sciences: Theory and Applications*, *4*(2), pp.79-101.

Nurjaman, I. and Listyantoko, R.A., 2023. ANALYTIC HIERARCHY PROCESS FOR DETERMINATION OF DECISION MAKING IN THE SELECTION OF CONTRACTORS. *Industry Xplore*, 8(1), pp.229-237.

Ola, A.A., 2016. Potential attributes of contractors' prequalification criteria for civil engineering project: Essential tools for project administration. *American journal of construction and building materials*, *1*(1), pp.15-23.

Ola, A.A., Michael, A.O. and Akinloye, O.M., 2017. Assessment of Factors Responsible for the Choice of Contractors' Prequalification Criteria for Civil Engineering Project: Consultants' Perspective. *International Journal of Advanced Engineering Research and Science*, *4*(9), p.237255.

Osurua, I., Ekpene, I. and Osurua, I.E., 2017. Determinants of Contractors' Pre-Qualification Criteria in a Recessed Economy Nigeria. *International Journal*, *5*(1).

Patel, M., Pitroda, J. and Bhavshar, J.J., 2016. To study the factors affecting contractors perspective in risk management. International Conference on: *"Engineering: Issues, opportunities and Challenges for Development"*. Pp.99-113.

Patil, S., Konnur, B., Devthanekar, P. and Patil, K., 2020. Review of Contractor Prequalification Criteria and their Impact on Project Success Factors. *International Journal of Research in Engineering, Science and Management*, *3*(7), pp.298-302.

Plebankiewicz, E., 2014. Modelling decision-making processes in bidding procedures with the use of the fuzzy sets theory. *International Journal of Strategic Property Management*, *18*(3), pp.307-316.

Puri, D. and Tiwari, S., 2014. Evaluating the criteria for contractors' selection and bid evaluation. *International journal of engineering science invention*, *3*(7), pp.44-48.

Rashvand, P., Abd Majid, M.Z. and Pinto, J.K., 2015. Contractor management performance evaluation model at prequalification stage. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *42*(12), pp.5087-5101.

Rashvand, P., Abd Majid, M.Z., Baniahmadi, M. and Ghavamirad, F., 2015. Contractor selection at prequalification stage: current evaluation and shortcomings. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 77(16), pp.81-89.

Ulubeyli, S. and Kazaz, A., 2016. Fuzzy multi-criteria decision-making model for subcontractor selection in international construction projects. *Technological and Economic Development of Economy*, 22(2), pp.210-234.

Yu, V.F., Chiang, F.Y., Le, T.H.A. and Lin, S.W., 2022. Using the ISM method to analyze the relationships between various contractor prequalification criteria. *Applied Sciences*, *12*(8), p.3726.